A NEW APPROACH TO ALLOWANCES

Point of Contact: Joe Bruno NAVICP-M Code 055X DSN 430-5151 COMM (717) 605-5151



- DEFINITION & INGREDIENTS
- ◆ PHASES & DELIVERABLES
- ◆ PROTOTYPE SHIPS & RESULTS
- ◆ LIVE SHIP RESULTS & TAT SCHEDULE
- **◆ REFINEMENTS**
- ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS
- SUMMARY

DEFINITION

* Second component of CILS Initiative addresses a more selective allowancing technique

✓ Limits new allowances to APLs that have had not carried 3M usage

✓ Deletes/decreases for those APLs with no reported usage

380 APLs with a Any Issue Any Issue Any Issue 3,900 APLs

1,200 APLs with

PACFLTILO DATA based on DDs/CGs

NO 3-M Issues

INGREDIENTS

- * Validated Configuration from CDMD-OA
- * Ship's SRF (SNAP I BMF)
- * Optimized Allowance (SNAP)
 Drawdown
- * Ship Unique 3M Usage Data
 - ✓ APLs with N/C Demand
- ✓ APLs with No Demand May 1999

◆ PHASES

* Phase I...Adds/Increases for Equipment with Not Carried Usage

* Phase II...Decreases for Inactive Equipment (No 3M Demand)

* Phase III...Deletes for Non-Candidates & Inactive Equipment

- DELIVERABLES
 - *SNAP I
 - ✓ Surface Ships
 - → Full Set of SNAP APL, COSAL & CILS TAT "E" Records

 SUADPS X05 Transactions (X05 & X05D)
 - *SNAP II
 - ✓ Full Set of APL, Selected COSAL & Alternate
 Cross Reference Number Records (for N/C
 APLs)
 - → Full Set of "C" & "F" Records to ILO for Ship Update A/R
 - ✓ TAT SRF "E" Transactions & Associated NSN Cross
 Reference "G" Records

◆ PROTOTYPE SHIPS

STHN	SHIP NAME	TYCOM
AO-186	USS PLATTE	LANT
CG-57	USS LAKE CHAMPLAIN	PAC
CG-58	USS PHILLPPINE SEA	LANT
CV-63	USS KITTY HAWK	PAC
DD-983	USS JOHN RODGERS	LANT
DD-990	USS INGERSOLL	PAC
DDG-51	USS ARLEIGH BURKE	LANT
DDG-56	USS JOHN S MCCAIN	PAC
FFG-30	USS REID	PAC
FFG-36	USS UNDERWOOD	LANT
LPD-4	USSAUSTIN	LANT
LSD-44	USS GUNSTON HALL	LANT
LSD-49	USS HARPERS FERRY	PAC
SSN-717	USS OLYMPIA	PAC
SSN-767	USS HAMPTON	LANT

ORIGINAL FOUR SHIPS

- ◆ RESULTS OF 15 SHIP PROTOTYPE
 - * Line Item & Cost of New Allowances Reduced 47% & 50%, Respectively
 - * Line Item Deletes/Decreases Reduced 31%
 - **✓**81K vs. 117K
 - * Gross Effectiveness Increased .9%
 - **✓** 52.7% vs. 51.8%

◆ LIVE SHIPS RESULTS

SHIPNAME	ADD/INCREASE LI/\$ VALUE (M)	DEL/DEC LINE ITEMS
USS J OHN YOUNG	915/.74	3137
USS REUBEN J AMES	904/.47	1497
USS TARAWA	854/.70	5726
USS PONCE	809/.79	1415
USS SEATTLE	797/.61	2347
USS BARRY	1233/1.01	2741
USS MOUNT WHITNEY	542/.32	3132
USS WHIDBEY ISLAND	1107/.77	2348
USS KEY WEST	331/.24	1691
USS GUNSTON HALL	1022/.55	1406
TOTAL CILS	8514/6.2	25440
TOTAL COSAL	15587/10.73	35532
DELTA 1999	7073/4.53 (45%/42%)	11192 (31%)

May 1999

9

- ◆ TAT PROJECTED SCHEDULE
 - * 4-Month Schedule (May-August)

SHIP NAME	STHN	TYCOM	PROJ ECTED MAIL DATE
USS VALLEY FORGE	CG 50	PAC	15-May-99
USS RUSSELL	DDG 59	PAC	15-May-99
USS J ARRETT	FFG 33	PAC	15-May-99
USS UNDERWOOD	FFG 36	LANT	01-J un-99
USS YORKTOWN	CG 48	LANT	01-J un-99
USS COWPENS	CG 63	PAC	01-J un-99
USS PORTLAND	LSD 37	LANT	01-J ul-99
USS LAJ OLLA	SSN 701	PAC	01-J ul-99
USS MINNEAPOLIS ST PAUL	SSN 708	LANT	01-J ul-99
USS HYMAN G RICKOVER	SSN 709	LANT	01-J ul-99
USS CAMDEN	AOE 2	PAC	01-Aug-99
USS TAYLOR	FFG 50	LANT	01-Aug-99
USS CUSHING	DD 985	PAC	01-Aug-99
USS SAMUEL E MORISON	FFG 13	LANT	01-Aug-99

- ◆ REFINEMENTS TO REDUCE COSTS
 - * Adds/Incs for Critical Items (IMEC 2-4)
 - ✓IMECS of Blank and 1 Removed
 - →Eliminates a Total of 3482 Items @ \$2.6m
 - » Avg 232 @ \$173K
 - ✔ Reduces Gross Eff. by 8.9% Per Ship
 - * Provide Range Adds Only
 - ✓ Eliminates a Total of 3470 Items @ \$1M
 - Avg 231 @ \$66K
 - ✓ Gross Eff. Declines .4% Per Ship

- REFINEMENTS TO REDUCE COSTS (CONT'D)
 - * Limit Adds/Incs to APLs with > 1 "Not Carried" 3M hit
 - ✓ 43% of G/J Issues APLs Have a Single Hit
 - ✓ Eliminates 936 Adds/Increases @ \$.063M
 - ✓ Gross Eff. Declines 3.7% Per Ship

- ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS
 - * Addbacks Analysis
 - ✓ 1044 Average Total Addbacks
 - → Average Allowance Adds
 - » 252 @ \$.35M
 - Already in SRF
 - » 586 @ \$.62M
 - Addbacks Not Included in TAT
 - » 206 @ \$.22M

- ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS (CONT'D)
 - * Carrier Variation
 - ✓ Considers Substituting Critical Equipments for Issue Data
 - * Limit Phase I Adds/Incrs to Critical G/J Equipment APLs
 - **✓** MCC
 - **✓** ESWBS

- SUMMARY
 - * Reduces Churn Without Degrading Effectiveness
 - * Viable & Cost Effective Alternative to Total Ship Re-COSALing
 - * Refinements Not Cost Effective for Decline in G.E.
 - ✓ Pursuing New Variations
 - * Potential Application to SNAP ASI Under Review

May 1999 1: